Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main

Document Page 1 of 25 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

In re: Donna Marie Fudala AKA Donna F. Marquardt Debtor Case No. 16-32620-KRH Chapter 13

NOTICE OF APPLICATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEBTOR FOR <u>AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES</u>

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that counsel for the above-named Debtor has filed an Application for an Award of Attorney Fees.

Your rights may be affected. If you do not want the Court to grant the Application then within twenty-one (21) days of this notice, you or your attorney must

File with the Court a written request for a hearing and an answer explaining your position at:

Clerk of Court United States Bankruptcy Court 701 E. Broad Street, Room 4000 Richmond, VA 23219-3515

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it to the address listed immediately above and early enough so that the Court will **receive** it within the time period stated above.

You must also send a copy to:

Boleman Law Firm, P.C. P. O. Box 11588 Richmond, VA 23230-1588

If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the Court may decide that you do not oppose therelief sought in the Application and may enter an Order granting that relief.

Respectfully Submitted,

Donna M. Fudala

By Counsel

By: /s/ Mark C. Leffler
Emily Connor Kennedy (VSB #83889)
Mark C. Leffler (VSB #40712)
Boleman Law Firm, P.C.
2104 W. Laburnum Ave., Suite 201
Richmond, VA 23227
Telephone (804) 358-9900
Counsel for Debtor/Plaintiff

Dale W. Pittman (VSB #15673)
THE LAW OFFICE OF DALE W. PITTMAN, P.C.
The Eliza Spotswood House
112-A West Tabb Street
Petersburg, VA 23803
Telephone (804) 861-6000
Counsel for Plaintiff

Thomas D. Domonoske (VSB #35434) Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C. 763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd., Suite 1A Newport News, VA 23601 Telephone (540) 442-7706 Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on <u>April 7, 2017</u>, I have transmitted a true copy of the foregoing document by CM/ECF or via e-mail to the following parties:

Corey S. Booker, Esquire LECLAIRRYAN, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION SunTrust Center – 24th Floor 919 East Main Street (23219) Post Office Box 2499 Richmond, Virginia 23218-2499 Counsel for Defendant

Suzanne E. Wade, Trustee P.O. Box 1780 Richmond, Virginia 23219

I certify that on <u>April 7, 2017</u>, I have transmitted a true copy of the foregoing document by U.S. first class mail to all necessary parties at the addresses identified on the attached mailing matrix and to the Debtor at:

Donna M. Fudala 3102 Taylor Avenue West Point, VA 23181

By: /s/ Mark C. Leffler
Counsel for Debtor/Plaintiff

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main

Document Page 4 of 25
IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

In re: Donna Marie Fudala AKA Donna F. Marquardt Debtor Case No. 16-32620-KRH Chapter 13

APPLICATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEBTOR FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES

COMES NOW Donna Marie Fudala (the "Debtor"), by counsel, and seeks an award of compensation of attorney fees pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(k).

On October 7, 2016, Donna M. Fudala, (the "Debtor" in the Chapter 13 case and the "Plaintiff" in Adversary Proceeding No. 16-03303-KRH) filed a Complaint to Determine Violation of the Automatic Stay, initiating an adversary proceeding styled as *Fudala v. NC Financial Solutions of Utah, LLC, d/b/a NetCredit*, Adv. Pro. No.16-03303-KRH ("the Adversary Proceeding"). In the Adversary Proceeding, the Plaintiff's attorneys sought payment of attorney's fees pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(k).

On December 1, 2016, the Court entered a Pretrial Order requiring that, if a settlement was reached, the parties would file a Motion to Approve Settlement pursuant to Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. On March 9, 2017, NC Financial Solutions of Utah, LLC, d/b/a NetCredit ("NetCredit"), filed a Motion to Approve Settlement, which this Court granted on March 1, 2017 (the "9019 Motion").

Pursuant to their request in the Adversary Proceeding for an award of fees under11 U.S.C. §362(k), Debtor, by counsel, submits this application for reasonable attorneys' fees. Counsel for the Debtor proposes to disburse the proceeds of the settlement to pay the fees requested in this application, with the remaining proceeds to be paid to the Debtor.

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 25

1. At all times during the Adversary Proceeding, and throughout the settlement

process, Plaintiff was represented by counsel competent in the prosecution of the matters at

issue.

2. As part of the settlement described in the 9019 Motion, the parties entered into a

full and final release of all claims, the scope of which extended beyond the claim of willful

violation of the automatic stay as alleged in the Complaint. In connection with evaluating the

claims beyond the stay violations—to include claims under the Fair Debt Collection Practices

Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. Seq., the Virginia Consumer Finance Act, Va. Code § 6.2-1501 et seq.,

Virginia usury law, Va. Code § 6.2-305(A), among others—or, in the alternative, to litigate the

matters presented, the Plaintiff retained experienced consumer litigation attorneys Thomas D.

Domonoske, Esquire, and Dale W. Pittman, Esquire, to co-counsel the case.

3. Counsel for the Plaintiff worked together, and with the Plaintiff, to evaluate the

various potential claims the Plaintiff had against NetCredit. Counsel for the Plaintiff considered

not only the actions implicating the automatic stay, but also factors such as the total amount of

payments the Plaintiff had made on the loan from NetCredit (including interest), the applicable

statutory damages, and the accrued attorney fees.

4. The Plaintiff has approved the terms of the proposed settlement agreement,

including the net proceeds after payment of attorney fees.

5. If approved by the Court, the \$20,000.00 in settlement proceeds would be

disbursed as follows:

To Donna M. Fudala:

\$ 5,208.32

To Counsel for Plaintiff:

\$14,791.68

6. The total attorney fees attributable to this case are set forth below:

2

Boleman Law Firm, P.C. \$13,526.68

Tom Domonoske, Esq. \$ 1,265.00

Dale W. Pittman, Esq. \$ 0.00

- 7. The work performed by members of the Boleman Law Firm in connection with this case is shown on "Exhibit A" and "Exhibit B" attached to this application. Emily Connor Kennedy, Esquire, Mark C. Leffler, Esquire, and Ms. Gina Cross, paralegal, performed services in this case and recorded their time contemporaneously with their performance of the work. The time records in Exhibit A reflect the value of the services rendered that exclusively benefited this Plaintiff. The time records do not duplicate work performed in any other file. From November 20, 2016, through December 14, 2016, services were performed that benefited several similarlysituated Plaintiffs evenly, including the Debtor in *In re Munoz*, Case No. 16-32061-KLP (Motion for Damages for Violation of Stay) and Plaintiffs in the following five (5) adversary proceedings that were pending before this Court at the same time: Charity v. NC Financial Solutions of Utah, LLC, d/b/a NetCredit, Adv. Pro. No.16-03121-KLP, Edmonds v. NC Financial Solutions of Utah, LLC, d/b/a NetCredit, Adv. Pro. No.16-03122-KLP, Smith v. NC Financial Solutions of Utah, LLC, d/b/a NetCredit, Adv. Pro. No.16-03144-KLP, Lane v. NC Financial Solutions of Utah, LLC, d/b/a NetCredit, Adv. Pro. No.16-03150-KLP, and Johnson v. NC Financial Solutions of Utah, LLC, d/b/a NetCredit, Adv. Pro. No.16-03152-KLP. As such, time records for such "common time" are presented separately from the time records for this individual Plaintiff's case on Exhibit B, and a separate column has been added to show how each line of recorded time should apply to this case.
- 8. The work performed by Mr. Domonoske in connection with this case is shown on "Exhibit C" attached to this application. Mr. Domonoske recorded his time contemporaneously

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 25

with the performance of his work. His time records do not duplicate work performed in any other file.

- 9. NetCredit chose actions that caused counsel to expend significant time. It filed an Answer to the Complaint denying willful violations of the automatic stay, and this Answer caused Plaintiff's counsel to prepare as though it would go to trial. Settlement negotiations were attempted early but were unsuccessful, leading to Plaintiff's counsel expending substantial time on written discovery. NetCredit insisted on a mutual release of all claims after Plaintiff's counsel had already expended substantial time attempting to settle the stay violation claims. At NetCredit's request, Plaintiff's counsel expended further time evaluating the settlement value of all claims—a settlement value which included damages for the stay violations as well as remedies available under various federal and state consumer protection laws—and conferring with the Plaintiff to advise her of the value of these claims and obtain the "global" settlement authority that NetCredit requested.
- against NetCredit for willfully violating the automatic stay, NetCredit conditioned any settlement of the Adversary Proceeding on the mutual release of <u>all</u> claims between the parties. Plaintiff's Counsel initially lacked permission from Plaintiff to negotiate the value of pre-petition causes of action. However, Counsel for Plaintiff engaged in good faith settlement discussions, attempting to settle the matters alleged in the Adversary Proceeding. Attached as "Exhibit D" is an e-mail dated November 1, 2016, which shows communications between Counsel. Counsel for Plaintiff communicated promptly and responsibly with counsel for NetCredit during settlement negotiations, informing her of Plaintiff's Counsel's concerns regarding NetCredit's delays in responding to offers. These efforts are evidenced by the attached e-mails, dated November 4,

2016, ("Exhibit E") and November 16, 2016 ("Exhibit F"), which show communication between counsel. Finally, Plaintiff's counsel worked conscientiously to avoid incurring unnecessary fees by communicating with NetCredit's counsel to seek stipulations of fact. As shown by the attached e-mail dated November 28, 2016 ("Exhibit G"), NetCredit's counsel promised stipulations, but did not provide stipulations. As a result, Plaintiff's counsel proposed stipulations to NetCredit. In response to these proposals, NetCredit told Plaintiff's counsel only what it would not agree to without ever proposing stipulations that it would agree to. For these reasons, Plaintiff's counsel was unable to avoid incurring fees related to preparing Pretrial Disclosures and issuing written discovery—even as they continued to pursue what was ultimately a settlement of the Adversary Proceeding and the pre-petition causes of action.

- 11. As shown in this application, the hourly rates and time expended are reasonable and supported by the evidence in the record.
- 12. Involving several lawyers on Plaintiff's team of counsel was warranted and prudent given the demands of this case. "There is nothing inherently unreasonable about a client having multiple attorneys, and they may all be compensated if they are not unreasonably doing the same work and are being compensated for the distinct contribution of each lawyer." Norman v. Hous. Auth, 836 F.2d 1292, 1302 (11th Cir. 1988)(approvingly cited in Randle v. H&P Capital, Inc., No. 3:09cv608, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 74994 (E.D. Va. July 21, 2010), adopted in part 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 101509 (E.D. Va. Sept. 23, 2010); affirmed in part and appeal dismissed in part by Randle v. H&P Capital, Inc., 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 4506 (4th Cir. Va., Mar. 5, 2013)).
- 13. Counsel for Plaintiff has incurred additional time and expense in preparing the instant Application for Compensation and in connection with the pending Rule 9019 Motion.

CONCLUSION

The settlement proposed for approval is the reasonable product of litigation that was resolved through arms-length negotiation after a thorough and competent evaluation of the value of all of Plaintiff's claims against NetCredit. The settlement amount was understood by all parties to include compensation for the Plaintiff's counsel. Counsel for Plaintiff request that the Court approve the attorney fees of \$14,791.68, and approve Plaintiff's counsel to disburse settlement proceeds to pay those fees.

Respectfully Submitted,

Donna M. Fudala By Counsel

By: /s/ Mark C. Leffler
Emily Connor Kennedy (VSB #83889)
Mark C. Leffler (VSB #40712)
Boleman Law Firm, P.C.
2104 W. Laburnum Ave., Suite 201
Richmond, VA 23227
Telephone (804) 358-9900
Counsel for Debtor/Plaintiff

Dale W. Pittman (VSB #15673)
THE LAW OFFICE OF DALE W. PITTMAN, P.C.
The Eliza Spotswood House
112-A West Tabb Street
Petersburg, VA 23803
Telephone (804) 861-6000
Counsel for Plaintiff

Thomas D. Domonoske (VSB #35434) Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C. 763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd., Suite 1A Newport News, VA 23601 Telephone (540) 442-7706 Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on <u>April 7, 2017</u>, I have transmitted a true copy of the foregoing document by CM/ECF or via e-mail to the following parties:

Corey S. Booker, Esquire LECLAIRRYAN, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION SunTrust Center – 24th Floor 919 East Main Street (23219) Post Office Box 2499 Richmond, Virginia 23218-2499 Counsel for Defendant

Suzanne E. Wade, Trustee P.O. Box 1780 Richmond, Virginia 23219

I certify that on <u>April 7, 2017</u>, I have transmitted a true copy of the foregoing document by U.S. first class mail to all necessary parties at the addresses identified on the attached mailing matrix and to the Debtor at:

Donna M. Fudala 3102 Taylor Avenue West Point, VA 23181

By: /s/ Mark C. Leffler
Counsel for Debtor/Plaintiff

Label Matrix for local noticing 0422-3

Case 16-32620-KRH Eastern District of Virginia Richmond

Fri Apr 7 12:34:49 EDT 2017

ANTIO, LLC C O WEINSTEIN & RILEY, PS 2001 WESTERN AVENUE, STE 400 SEATTLE, WA 98121-3132

Ashford University 400 N Bluff Blvd Clinton, IA 52732-3910

Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Taxation P.O. Box 2156 Richmond, VA 23218-2156

Credit Control Corporation Re:Tidewater Physicians Multi PO Box 120568 Newport News, VA 23612-0568

GECRB/PAYPAL P.O. Box 965005 Orlando, FL 32896-5005

Ginny's c/o Creditors Bankruptcy Service P.O. Box 800849 Dallas, TX 75380-0849

Internal Revenue Service P.O. Box 7346 Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346

NetCredit 200 West Jackson Blvd. Suite 2400 Chicago, IL 60606-6941

Publishers Clearing House Attn: Bankruptcy Dept 101 Winners Circle Port Washington, NY 11050-2218 Doc 38 Trust Workgage, Inc. Page 11 of 25

Document, LLC Page 11 of 25

Document, LLC Page 12 of 25

8100 Three Chopt Rd., Suite 240 Richmond, VA 23229-4833

American InfoSource LP as agent for Spot Loan PO Box 248838 Oklahoma City, OK 73124-8838

CareOne Credit Counseling 8930 Stanford Blvd Columbia, MD 21045-5805

Cox Communications 3080 Centreville Road Herndon, VA 20171-3715

DeVry University Attn: University Acct Services PO Box 5866 Carol Stream, IL 60197-5866

GECRB/Wal Mart PO BOX 276 Mail code OH 3-4258 Dayton, OH 45401-0276

HSBC

Attn: Bankruptcy Department PO Box 5253 Carol Stream, IL 60197-5253

Merchants Credit Assoc 4110 Clemson Blvd Ste A Anderson, SC 29621-1108

Office of the US Trustee 701 E. Broad Street Room 4304 Richmond, VA 23219-1885

Quantum3 Group LLC as agent for MOMA Funding LLC PO Box 788 Kirkland, WA 98083-0788 701 East Broad Street Richmond, VA 23219-1888

American Public University Sys 111 W. Congress Street Charles Town, WV 25414-1621

Comenity/Onestpls P.O. Box 182789 Columbus, OH 43218-2789

Credit Control Corporation Re: Tidewater Physicians Multi 11821 Rock Landing Drive Newport News, VA 23606-4207

Devry Education Group 814 Commerce Dr., Suite 200 Oak Brook, IL 60523-1965

GLELSI P.O. Box 7860 Madison, WI 53707-7860

Hodges & Bryant PO Box 194 Gloucester, VA 23061-0194

Nationwide Debt Management Sol 3609 Bradshaw Road Suite H-229 Sacramento, CA 95827-3275

(p)PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES LLC PO BOX 41067 NORFOLK VA 23541-1067

Riverside Health Credit Union 500 J. Clyde Morris Blvd. Newport News, VA 23601-1929 Riverside Medical Case 16-32620-KRH 856 J. Clyde Morris Blvd.

Suite A

Newport News, VA 23601-1318

Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Document Page 12 of 25

P.O. Box 800849 Dallas, TX 75380-0849 RE: Bankruptcy P.O. Box 927

Palatine, IL 60078-0927

Desc Main

Stoneberry

c/o Creditors Bankruptcy Service

P.O. Box 800849

Dallas, TX 75380-0849

SunTrust Mortgage Attn: Bankruptcy Dept. P0 Box 27767

Richmond, VA 23261-7767

SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. Bankruptcy Department RW 3034

PO Box 27767 Richmond, VA 23261

THD/CBNA P.O. Box 6497

Sioux Falls, SD 57117-6497

The Swiss Colony 1112 7th Avenue Monroe, WI 53566-1364

(c) TIDEWATER PHYSICIANS MULTISPECIALTY GROUP 860 OMNI BLVD STE 401 NEWPORT NEWS VA 23606-4430

US Department of Education Direct Loan Servicing Center

PO Box 105028

Atlanta, GA 30348-5028

(p) ROBERT P MCINTOSH U S ATTORNEY S OFFICE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 919 E MAIN ST SUITE 1900 RICHMOND VA 23219-4625

United States Department of Education Claims Filing Unit P 0 Box 8973 Madison, WI 53708-8973

Amanda Erin DeBerry Boleman Law Firm, PC

P.O. Box 11588 Richmond, VA 23230-1588 Christopher John Flynn Boleman Law Firm PO Box 11588 Richmond, VA 23230-1588 Donna Marie Fudala 3102 Taylor Avenue West Point, VA 23181-9523

Laura Taylor Alridge Boleman Law Firm, P.C. P.O. Box 11588 Richmond, VA 23230-1588

Suzanne E. Wade P.O. Box 1780 Richmond, VA 23218-1780

The preferred mailing address (p) above has been substituted for the following entity/entities as so specified by said entity/entities in a Notice of Address filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 342(f) and Fed.R.Bank.P. 2002 (q)(4).

Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC POB 41067 Norfolk VA 23541

United States Attorney Main Street Centre - 18th Floor 600 East Main Street Richmond, VA 23219

Addresses marked (c) above for the following entity/entities were corrected as required by the USPS Locatable Address Conversion System (LACS).

Tidewater Physicians Multispecialty Group 860 Omni Blvd Suite 304 Newport News, VA 23606-4445

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 25

The following recipients may be/have been bypassed for notice due to an undeliverable (u) or duplicate (d) address.

(u)NC Financial Solutions of Utah. LLC d/b/a

End of Label Matrix
Mailable recipients 46
Bypassed recipients 1

Total 47

Case	When	WHO	Time	Description of Services Rendered	Hourly Rate	Amount
_				Teleconference with client regarding Netcredit violating		
				the automatic stay. E-mails back and forth regarding		
				obtaining bank statements (.5) Begin drafting complaint		
Fudala v. NetCredit	10/5/2016	ECF	0.9	against Netcredit. (.4)	\$325.00	\$292.50
				Finish drafting complaint for turnover of funds against		
	10/6/2016	ECF	1.0	NetCredit.	\$325.00	\$325.00
	10/7/2016	MCL	0.4	Review and revise Complaint.	\$425.00	\$170.00
	10/7/2016	ECF	0.5	Final edits and execute Complaint for filing, prep Exhibit.	\$325.00	\$162.50
				Draft and forward e-mail to Corey Booker with copy of		
				Complaint, request she get her client to return funds		
	10/11/2016	ECF	0.2	debited from Ms. Fudala's bank account.	\$325.00	\$65.00
				E-mails with client re recent communications from		
	10/11/2016	ECF	0.1	NetCredit.	\$325.00	\$32.50
				Prepare Summons and Certificate of Service; execute		
	10/17/2016	ECF	0.5	and forward for filing.	\$325.00	\$162.50
				Draft email to Corey Booker advising her of willingness		
	11/2/2016	MCL	0.1	to continue discussing settlement.	\$425.00	\$42.50
				Review and revise proposed Settlement Agreement in 7		
				cases (3.5 total time); return to Corey Booker with email		
	11/3/2016	MCL	0.5	advising of need to evaluate quickly.	\$425.00	\$212.50
				Receive and review NetCredit's revised Settlement		
				Agreement; compare to Debtors' previous revisions (0.7		
	11/7/2016	MCL	0.1	total divided by 7 cases).	\$425.00	\$42.50
				Review and revise proposed Settlement Agreement in 7		
				cases (2.1 total time); return to Corey Booker with email		
	11/7/2016			explaining same.	\$425.00	\$127.50
	11/10/2016			Discuss status of settlement discussions with client.	\$325.00	\$65.00
	11/11/2016	MCL	0.1	Draft settlement proposal via email	\$425.00	\$21.25
				Prep for conference call with Corey Booker & NetCredit		_
	11/14/2016	MCL	0.2	lawyer, conference call with same, and follow-up email.	\$425.00	\$85.00
				Participate in conference call with Corey Booker and	A	*
	11/14/2016	ECF	0.1	NetCredit lawyer re settlement.	\$325.00	\$32.50
				Teleconference with co-counsel to discuss status of		
			_	settlement and strategy following conference call with	A	.
	11/14/2016	MCL	0.1	NetCredit lawyers.	\$425.00	\$21.25

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 25

	Teleconference with co-counsel to discuss status of settlement and strategy following conference call with		
11/14/2016 ECF	0.1 NetCredit lawyers.	\$325.00	\$16.25
		,	
11/15/2016 MCL	0.3 Receive and review NetCredit's Answer to Complaint.	\$425.00	\$127.50
	Teleconference with Corey Booker re counter-offer;		
11/17/2016 MCL	0.1 review written proposal.	\$425.00	\$42.50
11/17/2016 MCL	0.1 Draft email re settlement proposal to co-counsel	\$425.00	\$42.50
	Draft Memorandum to co-counsel re settlement		
11/18/2016 MCL	0.2 discussions and strategy.	\$425.00	\$85.00
	Teleconference with co-counsel to discuss status of		
11/18/2016 MCL	0.1 settlement and strategy.	\$425.00	\$42.50
	Draft proposed Statement of Authority for forwarding to		
11/18/2016 MCL	0.1 clients re authority to settle all claims.	\$425.00	\$42.50
	Obtained account at the mounts for California accounts with		
	Obtained account statements for 6 client accounts with		
	NetCredit. Review payments made by each client and		
	compared to princial loan amount. Provided information		
	to Mr. Leffler and Ms. Fort. Called and left a voicemail	_	
11/18/2016 GMC	0.2 for Mr. and Mrs. Charity to obtain	\$125.00	\$25.00
	Conference with ECF to discuss value of state and		.
11/18/2016 MCL	0.1 federal court claims.	\$425.00	\$42.50
	Conference with MCL to discuss value of state and	•	
11/18/2016 ECF	0.1 federal court claims.	\$325.00	\$32.50
	Review Donna Fudala's claims against NetCredit,		
	prepare proposal for client; teleconference with client re	.	
11/18/2016 ECF	0.8 settlement; email follow-up to client.	\$325.00	\$260.00
	Communicate with Corey Booker and co-counsel re		.
11/29/2016 MCL	0.2 Pretrial Conference.	\$425.00	\$85.00
	Review and revise Settlement Agreement for 5 individual		
12/7/2016 ECF	0.3 clients to account for details of each case.	\$325.00	\$110.50
	Draft settlement agreement in Fudala v. NC Financial	.	
12/8/2016 ECF	0.4 Solutions of Utah.	\$325.00	\$130.00
	Review updated Settlement Agreements to adjust		
	paragraphs 3, 6, 7, and 8 re fees inclusive in settlement		^-
12/8/2016 MCL	0.2 amount.	\$425.00	\$85.00
40/4/2040 0440	Sent e-mail to Ms. Fudala re emotional injury damages	0.40 = 0.0	010.75
12/14/2016 GMC	0.1 evaluation.	\$125.00	\$12.50

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 25

	Prepare documents to be attached to Pretrial		
12/14/2016 GMC	1.0 Disclosures.	\$125.00	\$125.00
	Prepare, review and revise, execute & serve Pretrial		
12/14/2016 MCL	0.8 Disclosures.	\$425.00	\$340.00
	Prepare for Fudala trial by attending trials of Charity,		
1/12/2017 ECK	9.0 Lane, and Edmonds v. NetCredit.	\$350.00	\$3,150.00
	Discussion with Tom how discovery should be drafted		
1/13/2017 MCL	0.7 and what should be included in light of the record at trial.	\$435.00	\$304.50
	Complete first draft of Interrogatories, Requests for		
	Production of Documents, and Requests for Admissions	* 40 = 00	*
1/15/2017 MCL	3.2 to NetCredit.	\$435.00	\$1,392.00
	Review Tom's edits to discovery (0.3); prepare final draft		
1/16/2017 MCL	1.0 w/Certificate of Service (0.7), and serve to Corey.	\$435.00	\$435.00
1/30/2017 MCL	0.7 Review Objections to discovery.	\$435.00	\$304.50
1/30/2017 WCL	Tc with Corey to discuss settlement offer. Email co-	φ433.00	φ304.30
1/31/2017 MCL	0.2 counsel re same.	\$435.00	\$87.00
1/31/2017 WICE	Tc with co-counsel re settlement offer, info needed from	φ433.00	φο7.00
2/1/2017 MCL	0.3 client in order to respond.	\$435.00	\$130.50
2/1/2017 WOL	0.3 client in order to respond.	ψ+33.00	ψ130.30
	Emails with co-counsel re settlement offer, evaluate how		
2/5/2017 MCL	0.4 much is available for client after payment of fees.	\$435.00	\$174.00
	Phone call with Ms. Fudala regarding factors that would	 	
	impact changes of success at trial, as well as NetCredit's		
	settlement offer. (0.6) Phone call with Mark Leffler to		
	update on Fudala v. NetCredit. Drafted e-mail to TD to		
2/6/2017 ECK	0.8 update as well. (0.2)	\$350.00	\$280.00
	Emails with ECK re settlement authority (0.2); tc w/ECK		
2/6/2017 MCL	0.4 re her conversation with client re settlement (0.2).	\$435.00	\$174.00
2/7/2017 ECK	0.1 Email to Corey Booker accepting settlement.	\$350.00	\$35.00
	Review proposed Settlement Agreement, evaluate to		
2/27/2017 ECK	0.5 confirm it is same text as previously agreed.	\$350.00	\$175.00
	Tc with client to schedule appointment to review and	_	
2/27/2017 GMC	0.1 execute Settlement Agreement.	\$150.00	\$15.00
	Edit proposed Settlement Agreement so pronouns		
2/28/2017 ECK	0.3 matched, etc.	\$350.00	\$105.00
3/10/2017 MCL	0.2 Receive and review Motion to Approve Settlement.	\$435.00	\$87.00

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 25

	3/22/2017 MCL	1.2 Beginning drafting fee application pleading.	\$435.00	\$522.00
		Work on fee application pleading, begin evaluating time		
		records to ensure proper billing of common time to this		
	3/23/2017 MCL	1.7 case.	\$435.00	\$739.50
		Complete first draft of fee application, including		
		evaluation of total fees and remainder of proceeds for		
	4/5/2017 MCL	1.2 client.	\$435.00	\$522.00
		Estimate for preparation for hearing on Motion to		
	4/11/2017 MCL	0.3 Approve Settlement.	\$435.00	\$130.50
		Estimate for attending hearing on Motion to Approve		
	4/12/2017 MCL	0.5 Settlement.	\$435.00	\$217.50
	4/12/2017 MCL	0.1 Estimate for reviewing Order Approving Settlement.	\$435.00	\$43.50
Total		33.3		\$12,530.25

							Attributable
Case	When	WHO	Time	Description of Services Rendered	Hourly Rate	Amount	to Fudala
	-	-	-	Review and revise Settlement Agreement to include	-		-
All	11/20/2016	MCL	1.4	mutual release of all claims.	\$425.00	\$595.00	3 \$85.00
				Draft proposed Stipulations, forward to co-counsel for			
	11/20/2016	MCL	0.8	review and comment.	\$425.00	\$340.00	3 \$48.57
				Draft and forward email to Corey re proposed	_	_	
	11/22/2016	MCL	0.4	Stipulations of Fact (edited prior version)	\$425.00	\$170.00	\$24.29
	44/00/0046	MOL	0.2	Final review and edit of proposed Cottlement Agreement	\$405.00	¢407.50	0 640.04
	11/22/2016 11/22/2016			Final review and edit of proposed Settlement Agreement Final review of settlement demands.	\$425.00 \$425.00	\$127.50 \$170.00	
	11/22/2016	WICL	0.4	Draft email to Corey Booker w/Settlement Agreement	\$425.00	\$170.00	J \$24.29
	11/22/2016	MOL	0.6	and settlement demands in each case.	\$425.00	\$255.00	36.43
	11/28/2016			Email communication w/co-counsel	\$425.00	\$255.00	
	11/20/2010	IVICL	0.6	Receive email from Corey Booker re Stipulations; draft	\$425.00	φ200.00	J \$30.43
	11/28/2016	MCI	0.6	and forward response.	\$425.00	\$255.00	36.43
	11/28/2016			Receive and review email re settlement.	\$425.00	\$85.00	
	11/20/2010	IVICL	0.2	Teleconference with co-counsel re stategy for litigating	\$425.00	φου.υι	J \$12.14
	11/29/2016	ECE	1.0	and settlement.	\$325.00	\$325.00	\$46.43
	11/29/2010	LOI	1.0	Teleconference with co-counsel re stategy for litigating	ψ323.00	φ323.00	J \$40.43
	11/29/2016	MCI	1.0	and settlement.	\$425.00	\$425.00	\$60.71
	11/30/2016			Praft Notice of Appearance for Tom Domonoske.	\$325.00	\$455.00	
	11/30/2010	LOI	1.4	Assist with preparation and filing of Notices of	ψ323.00	ψ400.00	J 405.00
	11/30/2016	GMC	0.7	Appearance.	\$125.00	\$87.50	\$12.50
	11/30/2010	OIVIO	0.7	Teleconference with co-counsel re stategy for litigating	Ψ125.00	ψ07.50	υ ψ12.00
	11/30/2016	MCI	1.5	and settlement; numerous emails re same.	\$425.00	\$637.50	\$91.07
	11/00/2010	WICE	1.0	Receive email from Corey Booker re Stipulations; further	Ψ120.00	Ψουν.οι	φοτ.στ
	12/1/2016	MCI	0.5	s emails with co-counsel.	\$425.00	\$212.50	\$30.36
	12/1/2010	WICE	0.0	Teleconference with co-counsel and Leclair Ryan	Ψ120.00	Ψ212.00	σ φοσ.σσ
	12/6/2016	ECF	0.7	lawyers re settlement; follow up with co-counsel.	\$325.00	\$227.50	32.50
	12/6/2016			B Email communications with co-counsel.	\$425.00	\$127.50	
	, 5, 5			Email and teleconference with Corey Booker re	*	*	* 191=1
	12/6/2016	MCL	0.5	settlement issues.	\$425.00	\$212.50	\$30.36
	, 5, 5			Teleconference with co-counsel and Leclair Ryan	¥ :===:==	*	*******
	12/6/2016	MCL	0.7	lawyers re settlement; follow up with co-counsel.	\$425.00	\$297.50	\$42.50
				, ,	·	·	·
	12/7/2016	ECF	0.2	Preference with co-counseline settlement demand.	\$325.00	\$65.00	\$9.29
				Teleconference with MCL to discuss changes needed to		•	•
				Settlement Agreements and to determine which cases			
	12/7/2016	ECF		B each would focus on.	\$325.00	\$97.50	3 \$13.93
	12/7/2016		0.5	Evaluate all-in settlement demand amounts.	\$425.00	\$212.50	
						-	
	12/7/2016	MCL	0.2	? Teleconference with co-counsel re settlement demand.	\$425.00	\$85.00	\$12.14

Case 16-32620-KRH Doc 38 Filed 04/07/17 Entered 04/07/17 13:52:47 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 25

	Teleconference with ECF to discuss changes needed to			
	Settlement Agreements and to determine which cases			
12/7/2016 MCL	0.3 each would focus on.	\$425.00	\$127.50	\$18.21
	Prepare chart for all clients showing damages and			
12/7/2016 MCL	0.3 attorney fees for settlement purposes.	\$425.00	\$127.50	\$18.21
	Discuss with co-counsel settlement offer to be sent to			
12/8/2016 ECF	0.2 counsel for NetCredit.	\$325.00	\$65.00	\$9.29
	Discuss with co-counsel settlement offer to be sent to			
12/8/2016 MCL	0.2 counsel for NetCredit.	\$425.00	\$85.00	\$12.14
10/0/0010101				
12/8/2016 MCL	0.5 Prepare and forward settlement offers to Corey Booker.	\$425.00	\$212.50	\$30.36
	Review all cases, send summarizing email to co-counsel			
12/13/2016 MCL	1.0 re upcoming events and deadlines.	\$425.00	\$425.00	\$60.71
	Tc w/Corey Booker re settlement; email co-counsel re			
12/14/2016 MCL	0.5 same.	\$425.00	\$212.50	\$30.36
Total	17.8			\$996.43

1/13/17	0.7	tc Fudala discovery and what we ask for and why we need it
1/16/17	0.5	rev mark's draft of Fudala discovery and review facts and make changes to several and send back (.5)
1/27/17	0.2	review discovery from Corey and see asking for some absurd stuff in addition to normal stuff and consider how to respond
2/2/17	0.3	discuss facts and what is best closing argument and what need to check with client (.3)
2/5/17	0.2	review settlement offer and review past discussions and compute time and email ML
2/6/17	0.2	review email about total time and client's wishes and respond re discovery (.1); emails re what to send corey re fees and stips process and emily's conv with client (.1)
3/8/17	0.1	review and file attorney fee disclosure
3/9/17	0.1	review several emails re settlement and hearing and court filings

Total 2.3 x \$550.00 = \$1,265.00

From: Mark Leffler

Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 10:27 PM

To: 'Booker, Corey S.' **Cc:** Emily Fort; Gina Cross

Subject: Multiple debtors v. NetCredit

Dear Corey:

I am writing on behalf of my multiple clients - Lane, Smith, Johnson, Charity, Edmonds, and Munoz – with respect to the matters pending against your client, NetCredit. It is our belief that we should resume our previous attempts to settle the matters alleged in the Complaints (and, in Munoz's case, the Motion), i.e., that your client's willful violations of the automatic stay damaged my clients.

We had hoped to achieve settlement prior to the Pretrial Disclosure phase of these cases in order to minimize attorney fees. For this reason, we agreed to request that the Court extend the deadlines for filing Pretrial Disclosures until Oct. 18 and 19. You and I spoke on October 3, and I made settlement offers to you in each of the cases. I also gave a Tuesday Oct. 11 deadline for acceptance because I wanted to have sufficient time to prepare the Pretrial Disclosures if settlement could not be achieved. Because of the Oct. 10 Veteran's Day holiday and because some progress seemed likely, I extended the deadline for your client to accept to Oct. 12. I even extended it another day to Oct. 13 because your client was celebrating Yom Kippur. However, your client unreasonably chose to condition any settlement of the stay violations on the resolution of the pre-petition causes of action my clients listed in their Schedules, despite my very clear Oct. 7 e-mail that advised you that I had not been retained to pursue the prepetition causes of action and, therefore, had no authority to settle them. Because of your client's actions in response to our offers of settlement, we withdrew the offers in order to focus on the requirements of the Court's Pretrial Orders.

Unless you are willing to stipulate that your client took intentional actions to collect prepetition debts after receiving notice of the respective bankruptcies, we only have a few days remaining before I need to serve written discovery on your client. We should do so as soon as possible in order to avoid increasing attorney fees, which will begin occurring soon as I prepare written discovery, including admissions that will likely be sufficient to seek summary judgment on whether your client willfully violated the automatic stay. The deadline to file a Motion for Summary Judgment is Dec. 13, 2016, so I need to get discovery out soon. Therefore, I believe we have this week to discuss settlement before fees begin increasing significantly as a result of discovery. What does your schedule allow this week? I can be available just about any time through Friday.

Since the only likely issue at trial will be the extent of damages, I would strong encourage you that these matters should be settled before a lot more attorney fees accrue pursuing discovery and summary judgment. However, just as have said throughout, I want to emphasize that the only thing we are offering to settle is the

automatic stay violations. Please let me know if you are interested in getting together by phone this week.

Thank you,

Mark C. Leffler Attorney and Counselor at Law

Boleman Law Firm, P.C. 2104 W. Laburnum Ave. Suite 201 Richmond, VA 23227 Voice: 804-358-9900 Facsimile: 804-358-8704

Email: mcleffler@bolemanlaw.com

Web: www.bolemanlaw.com

Offices in Richmond, Hampton, and Virginia Beach, Virginia

From: Mark Leffler

Sent: Friday, November 04, 2016 8:30 AM

To: 'Booker, Corey S.' **Cc:** Emily Fort; Gina Cross

Subject: RE: NetCredit Settlement Offers [IWOV-Leclair5.FID1370879]

Corey:

Thanks for your efforts to get a prompt response. There isn't much time to discuss settlement before time and efforts related to discovery will greatly increase attorney fees on our side. Your client's apparent insistence on a mutual release of all claims is taking up time that would be better spent discussing settlement of the stay violation issues. I will, of course, discuss settlement with you at any time, but I will begin working on discovery soon, which will increase the attorney fees—and, thus, also the settlement demand.

Please let me know as soon as you hear back from your client on the revisions to the Settlement Agreement and Release. You are welcome to call my cell phone at if you should have any questions.

Thanks,

Mark

Exhibit F

From: Mark Leffler

Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2016 8:02 AM

To: 'Booker, Corey S.' **Cc:** Emily Fort; Gina Cross

Subject: RE: NetCredit Stay Violation actions

Corey,

I sent you our settlement offer on Friday after having discussed the issues for weeks, and after having bounced the proposed Settlement Agreement back and forth between us for well over a week. The terms and issues are well understood. I know you were patient while I obtained settlement authority from my seven (7) different clients, and I am trying to be patient, as well. However, time is once again running out, and very soon I will need to commit significant time and resources to preparing written discovery, which will greatly increase the fees (and, as a result, the settlement demand). Accordingly, unless your client approves the settlement offer I made on Friday November 11 by close of business Thursday November 17, it shall be withdrawn automatically.

Please call me at if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Mark

Exhibit G

From: Mark Leffler

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2016 5:09 PM

To: 'Booker, Corey S.' **Cc:** Emily Fort; Gina Cross

Subject: RE: Proposed Stipulations of Fact

Corey:

Thank you for the email. I've spent most of today preparing written discovery, which I had hoped to avoid in order to keep a lid on the attorney fees. Please recall that you told me on November 17, 2016, that you would send me proposed Stipulations. To date, I still have not received any proposed Stipulations from you. Instead, your client has delayed in responding to the Stipulations I sent you last week, forcing me to devote substantial time to written discovery. Nevertheless, I still hope we can reach an agreement on stipulated facts.

I understand that the facts vary in each case, which means we will need to adjust the proposed Stipulations below. As I said in my email, which is below, I was using the Jeffrey Smith case as an example. In fact, #1 and #2 don't apply as written to the other 6 cases, either. Further, the proposed Stipulations did not ask for agreement as to whether "every" communication/email was to collect a debt but, rather, whether "one or more" communication/email was to collect a debt.

Will your client, in the Jeffrey Smith case, agree to the Stipulations as written? If so, it would seem pretty easy to follow the same template in the other 6 cases, making adjustments for everything from the name of the debtor to the types of actions your client took after receiving the bankruptcy notice, whether email notices, phone calls, or bank debits.

Please advise.

Thanks,

Mark C. Leffler Attorney and Counselor at Law

Boleman Law Firm, P.C. 2104 W. Laburnum Ave. Suite 201 Richmond, VA 23227

Voice: 804-358-9900 Facsimile: 804-358-8704

Email: mcleffler@bolemanlaw.com

Web: www.bolemanlaw.com

Offices in Richmond, Hampton, and Virginia Beach, Virginia